

**Quarterly Administrative Follow Up Audit
Quarter Ended September 30, 2009
November 6, 2009
Report 11/09**

Distribution:

To: President & CEO
Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
Senior Vice President, Business Development
Senior Vice President, Business Solutions & Technology
Senior Vice President, Financing Products Group
Senior Vice President, Human Resources
Senior Vice President, Insurance
Senior Vice President, Legal Services & Secretary

CC: See attached distribution list

**Vice President, Internal Audit
M. Ryan**

CC: Vice President & Chief Information Officer
Vice President & Corporate Controller
Vice President & Chief Risk Officer
Vice President & Treasurer
Vice President, Portfolio Management
Vice President, Equity
General Counsel & Senior Assistant Secretary
Assistant Controller, Corporate Finance & Control
Deputy Treasurer
Director, Market Risk Management (MRM)
Director, Infrastructure & Operations
Director, Cash Management, Taxation & Corporate Insurance
Manager, Corporate Banking
Sr. Legal Counsel, Legal Services, Structured Finance
Equity Strategy Manager
Senior Purchasing Advisor
Equity Senior Portfolio Manager
Sr. Risk Transfer Manager
Sr. Credit Risk Manager, MRM
Sr. Asset Liability Manager, MRM
Sr. Financial Engineer, MRM
Sr. Financial Engineer, Treasury

Introduction

A strong indicator of an effective internal control environment is the timeliness with which management takes action to address reported control deficiencies. For this reason, EDC Internal Audit has implemented a follow up process to ensure internal audit findings and the related recommendations are properly addressed on a timely basis. This report summarizes the results of our Quarterly Administrative Follow-Up Audit for the third quarter ending September 30, 2009.

Objective & Scope

The objective of this audit was to assess the extent to which audit recommendations due to be completed in the third quarter have been implemented. Through this audit, we also track the number of times an audit recommendation is retargeted. Any recommendations retargeted two or more times are highlighted in this report. The scope of this audit is limited to the implementation status of audit recommendations relating to major¹ and moderate audit findings. Minor audit findings and recommendations are reported to management for information purposes only and are therefore excluded from the scope of this follow up audit.

Overall Opinion

In our opinion, the implementation rate of audit recommendations is **Strong**² for the third quarter ending September 30, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, thirteen audit recommendations were due to be implemented in Q3 2009. All of these recommendations were implemented. In addition, twenty recommendations from an audit completed in Q3 2009 were closed as of September 30th. Further details by business group are provided in the following section.

Detailed Audit Results

The table shown below provides a summary of open, closed and re-targeted action plans by business group.

Group	Open Beginning Q3 2009	Additions in Q3	Closed in Q3	Retargeted	Open End Q3 2009	Due in Q4 2009
President's Group	1	21	15	0	7	2
BD&O: Financing	5	0	5	0	0	0
BD&O: Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0
BD	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate Secretariat & Legal Services	0	2	1	0	1	0
Human Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finance	4	10	8	0	6	1
Business Solutions & Technology	8	0	4	0	4	4
Totals	18	33	33	0	18	7

¹ The ratings of our audit findings are as follows:

Major - a key control does not exist, is poorly designed or is not operating as intended and the financial and/or reputation risk is more than inconsequential. The process objective to which the control relates is unlikely to be achieved. Corrective action is needed to ensure controls are cost effective and/or process objectives are achieved.

Moderate - a key control does not exist, is poorly designed, or is not operating as intended and the financial and/or reputation risk to the process is more than inconsequential. However, a compensating control exists. Corrective action is needed to avoid sole reliance on compensating controls and/or ensure controls are cost effective.

Minor - a weakness in the design and/or operation of a non-key process control. Ability to achieve process objectives is unlikely to be impacted. Corrective action is suggested to ensure controls are cost effective.


² Our standard audit opinions are as follows:

Strong - 100% of the audit recommendations due to be implemented in the current quarter were completed.

Well Controlled - 70% - 99% of the audit recommendations due to be implemented in the current quarter were completed.

Opportunity Exists to Improve Implementation Rates - 50% - 69% of the audit recommendations due to be implemented in the current quarter were completed.

Not Controlled - less than 50% of the audit recommendations due to be implemented in the current quarter were completed.



At the end of Q3 2009, there are 18 open audit recommendations. Seven of these open audit recommendations are due to be implemented by the end of Q4 2009.

Conclusion

The results of this audit have been communicated to and agreed with management.

We would like to thank management for their continued support.